

Kirby, Joan
Page 1 of 1

Hartman, Gary S

From: Joan Kirby [JCKTEMPLE@prodigy.net]
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2001 8:58 PM
To: Y12EIS
Subject: Oak Ridge

I am totally and unequivocally opposed to the Oak Ridge, Tennessee project. To spend \$4 billion of taxpayers money on restarting the arms race of the past Cold War is incomprehensible. Furthermore a missile shield to deter nuclear weapons seems irrelevant to counter the so-called threat from terrorists coming from "rogue" states. Please reconsider this inappropriate waste of our, the taxpayers, money. Let Mr. Bush know that the majority of the US citizens are opposed to further war. Thank you.
Sister Joan Kirby

1/16

Comment No. 1

Issue Code: 16

Comment noted. In accordance with Section 91 of the *Atomic Energy Act*, DOE carries out its mission (i.e., atomic weapons activities) consistent with the consent of and direction from the President and Congress. This consent and direction are contained in the Nuclear Weapons Stockpile Memorandum, which is updated annually. The issue of whether DOE should produce nuclear weapons and alternative uses of the Nation's funds are beyond the scope of the Y-12 SWEIS. In addition, the missile defense shield issue is a proposal by the Department of Defense, not DOE nor within the scope of the Y-12 SWEIS.